## **APPENDIX C**

## EVALUATION OF DATA QUALITY OBJECTIVES ATTAINMENT

Activity	Objectives	Action	<b>Objective Attained?</b>	Recommendations	
Objective 1: M	eet TNRCC Requirements for S	ite Closure			
Attainment of Risk Reduction Standard Number 1: Closure/Remediation to Background					
Attainment of Risk Reduction Standard 1	Remove all hazardous and nonhazardous waste and waste residues and contaminated design and operating system components such as liners, leachate collection systems, and dikes from the unit or area of the unauthorized discharge. For remediation of media that have become contaminated by releases from a waste management unit or by other unauthorized discharge of hazardous or nonhazardous waste, the contaminated media must be removed or decontaminated to cleanup levels specified in this section (30 TAC 335.554(b) and (c)).	Excavation of waste at the site was performed.	Yes.	Closure under RRS1.	
	Determine compliance with RRS1 closure requirements by comparing to background as represented by results of analyses of samples taken from media that are unaffected by waste management or industrial activities. If the practical quantitation limit (PQL) is greater than background, then the PQL rather than background shall be used as the cleanup level provided that the person satisfactorily demonstrates to the executive director that lower levels of quantitation of a contaminant are not possible (30 TAC 335.554(d)).	Contaminant concentrations were compared to RRS1.	Yes. All sample results were below RRS1 except one zinc result. This result is barely above RRS1, and does not warrant additional excavation.	Closure under RRS1.	

# **APPENDIX C (continued)**

Activity	Objectives	Action	<b>Objective Attained?</b>	Recommendations
Attainment of Risk Reduction Standard 1 (cont.)	Attainment of cleanup levels shall be demonstrated by collection and analysis of samples from the media of concern (30 TAC 335.554(e)).	Surface and subsurface samples were collected at SWMU B-25. Excavation activities were completed to remove all waste and waste residue from the site and confirmation sampling was conducted to confirm all waste was removed.	Yes. No additional work is necessary at the site.	NA
<b>Objective 2:</b> M	leet Requirements of 3008(h) Or	der for RFI		
RFI Workplan Re	-			
Field Sampling (Detailed listing of methods and procedures are provided in project plans which are incorporated by reference).	Conduct field sampling in accordance with procedures defined in the project work plan, SAP, QAPP, and HSP.	All sampling was conducted in accordance with the procedures described in the project plans.	Yes.	NA
Facility Investigat	ion			
Characterization of Environmental Setting - Hydrogeology (B.3.A.1)	Evaluate hydrogeologic conditions at the site.	Groundwater was not encountered during field activities at the site. Groundwater of the Trinity Aquifer is being addressed through the Groundwater Investigation.	NA	NA
Characterization of Environmental Setting- Soils (B.3.A.2)	Characterize soils in accordance with USCS soil classification system (B.3.A.2(a)).	Soil types at the site are based on the SCS Bexar County Soil Survey (USDA, 1991) and are described in Section 1.3.1.	Yes.	NA

#### Activity **Objectives Objective Attained?** Recommendations Action Characterization Determine soil pH (B.3.A.2(e)). The pH of each of the soil Yes. NA types evaluated as part of the of Environmental background Setting-Soils metals (B.3.A.2) (cont.) concentration study was determined through laboratory analysis. According to those analyses, the pH of Krum Complex soils is 7.87. Determine moisture content The moisture content of each Yes. NA (B.3.A.2(g)).sample analyzed. was Moisture content values are provided in laboratory analytical packages. A stream is located along the Characterization Characterize marshes, creeks, wetland Yes NA of Environmental areas, or ditches at the site. west side of the site. Setting – Surface Water and Sediment (B.3.A.3) A description of the source NA Source Identify the source area (B.3.B.1). Yes. Excavation ensured that Characterization area is provided in Section 1.2. all waste at the site was (B.3.B)found. excavated, and disposed. Identify the location of the In 1999, points along the Yes. Although the accuracy NA Source Characterization unit/disposal area (B.3.B.2(a)). boundary of each site were of the boundary survey of the surveyed with a Rockwell site is estimated to have an (B.3.B) (cont.) Plugger GPS unit (estimated approximate error of 25 feet. accuracy of $\pm 25$ feet). The this accuracy is sufficient for boundary of the site was closure under RRS1. reviewed during preparation of this report and adjusted, if based necessary. on observations made during the field investigation. Yes. We know the type of NA The type of unit/disposal area Identify the type of unit/disposal area (B.3.B.2(b)). was identified in disposal at most sites based the Environmental Assessment. on records review, interviews with base personnel, and aerial photo review.

## **APPENDIX C (continued)**

J:\743\743345 SWMU AOC CLOSURE\B-25 CLOSURE REPORT\FINAL\FINAL B25DQOS.DOC

#### Activity **Objectives Objective Attained?** Recommendations Action Identify design features (B.3.A.2(c)). Information regarding design Yes. NA features was obtained during the Environmental Assessment (ES, 1992) and through visual observation during the field investigation. All available information regarding the design of the disposal site is provided in Section 1.2. Identification of past and present All known information To the extent possible with NA operating practices, period of regarding these items is data available. operation, age of unit/disposal area. provided in Section 1.2. This and method used to close the information is from the unit/disposal area (B.3.B.2(d), (e), (f), Environmental Assessment. records review, interviews, and (h)). aerial photo review, and visual observations. The general physical condition NA Determine general physical conditions Yes. of the site (B.3.B.2(g))of the site was determined during the field investigation. This information is presented in Section 1.2. Records regarding historic NA Source Identify waste characteristics, Yes. Characterization including type of waste placed in the waste disposal practices at unit, physical and chemical CSSA are very limited. All (B.3.B) (cont.) characteristics of the wastes, and known information, derived migration and dispersal characteristics from the Environmental of the waste (B.3.B.3). Assessment, records review, interviews. and visual observations at the site is provided in Section 1.2. NA NA Contamination Characterize the vertical and Groundwater was not horizontal extent of groundwater encountered during Characterization field – Groundwater contamination. activities at the site. (B.3.C.1) Groundwater of the Trinity Aquifer is being addressed through the Groundwater Investigation.

#### **APPENDIX C (continued)**

Activity	Objectives	Action	<b>Objective Attained?</b>	Recommendations
Contamination Characterization – Soil (B.3.C.2)	Determine vertical and horizontal extent of contamination (B.3.C.2(a)).	Surface and subsurface samples were collected at SWMU B-25.	Yes. Excavation of waste and waste residue was completed at the site. Confirmation sampling verified that no additional work is necessary at the site.	NA
	Describe soil properties (B.3.C.2(c)).	See "Characterization of Environmental Setting – Soils" above.	Yes.	NA
	Identify the direction of contaminant movement (B.3.C.2(d)).	Contaminants were determined to be at shallow depths in soil, and no shallow groundwater was encountered at the site. Since groundwater was not reported within the shallow strata at the site, it was not possible to identify a direction of contaminant movement.	NA	NA
	Extrapolate future contaminant movement (B.3.C.2(e)).	NA	NA	NA
Contamination Characterization – Soil (B.3.C.2) (cont.)	Implement a soil boring investigation to determine the extent of soil contamination. Soil gas monitoring will be performed during drilling of all borings. Laboratory analysis of borings for contaminants of potential concern will be performed on soils at depths where either visual contamination is evident, or soil gas concentrations indicate contamination. All boreholes shall be properly abandoned.	Three soil borings were drilled and sampled. A PID was used during drilling to monitor for organic vapors. Samples were collected from each boring and sent to offsite laboratories for analysis.	Yes.	NA
	Prepare a map of all areas included in the investigation (B.3.C.2(i)).	Figures B25 1-10 show all areas included in the investigation	Yes.	NA

# **APPENDIX C (continued)**

Activity	Objectives	Action	<b>Objective Attained?</b>	Recommendations
	All reporting limits should be below regulatory criteria.	RLs were approved by TNRCC on October 5, 1999. RLs are considered RRS1 standards for all analytes except metals.	Yes.	NA
	Perform all analyses in accordance with the AFCEE QAPP.	All analyses were performed in accordance with the AFCEE QAPP and approved variances.	Yes.	NA
		All data flagged with "U," "F," "M," and "J" are considered usable for site characterization purposes.	Yes.	NA
Contaminant Characterization – Sediment and Surface Water (B.3.C.3)	Conduct a surface water and sediment investigation to characterize contamination resulting from releases at the Facility.	Surface water is present at the site, but no releases have occurred.	Yes.	NA
Potential Receptors (B.3.D).	Collect the information necessary to describe the human populations and environmental systems that are susceptible to contaminant exposure from the facility.	Information regarding receptors is provided in the Risk Assessment Technical Approach Document (Volume 1-6). In addition, the Well Research Report identifies private groundwater users within 0.25-mile and public water suppliers within 0.5 mile of CSSA.	Yes.	NA

# **APPENDIX C (continued)**