



DEPARTMENT OF THE ARMY
CAMP STANLEY STORAGE ACTIVITY, RRAD
25800 RALPH FAIR ROAD, BOERNE, TX 78006-4800

Jackie

April 26, 1995

Office of the Commander

United States Environmental Protection Agency
Region 6
Mail Code 6W - PS
Permit Issuance Section
1445 Ross Avenue, Suite 1200
Dallas, Texas 75202-2733

REC'D
MAY 1 1995

ATTN: Jackie Greensage

Subject: Camp Stanley Storage Activity
EPA Identification Number TX2210020739
EPA NPDES Permit Number TX0064505
Submittal of a Renewal and Amendment Application
for EPA Permit Number TX0064505

Dear Sir:

In accordance with 40 CFR, Part 122, enclosed are one original and one copy of a permit renewal and amendment application for NPDES permit number TX0064505. The facilities are located at Camp Stanley Storage Activity (Bexar County), Boerne, Texas. This package contains all information necessary to renew and amend the existing NPDES and permit.

If you have any questions or comments, please contact me at 210/221-7416.

Sincerely,

Dean C. Schmelling

DEAN C. SCHMELLING
LTC, U.S. Army
Commanding Officer

enclosures

xc: S. V. Roberts, Parsons ES
R. P. Palachek, Parsons ES
G. G. Taffinder, Parsons ES

INDUSTRIAL CHECKLIST

PROCESSING OF WASTEWATER PERMIT APPLICATIONS WHERE: (1) NPDES APPLICATION WAS ASSUMED FROM EPA & (2) THERE IS CURRENTLY A STATE PERMIT, BUT NO PENDING STATE PERMIT APPLICATION

TNRCC Permit Number WQ 03849 NPDES Permit Number TX 0064505

Is there a record that EPA previously issued an NPDES permit? Y N

1. If yes to 2., was an application received by EPA seeking to re-issue the NPDES permit (thus, the permittee maintained Clean Water Act coverage)? Y N

2. Does the "EPA Majors List" have an entry for this discharge? Y N

If no, a majors determination is needed, before processing can continue; reviewer may need assistance from permit writer

3. Is the permit application for an EPA major? Y N

4. Compare the permit effluent limitations and discharge flow that exist in the most recently issued NPDES permit with the permit effluent limitations specified in the active state permit. Describe this information below. Complete one table for each of the interim and final phases of the permits AND for each outfall.

Outfall 001 Wastes authorized Discharge Sanitary Wastewater
 Interim _____ Final _____

NPDES Permit Parameter	NPDES Permit Value	State Permit Parameter	State Permit Value
Dly avg flow, MGD	N/A	Dly avg flow, MGD	
Dly max flow, MGD	N/A	Dly max flow, MGD	
BOD-5	Aug: 5.0 / Max: 2.3	BOD	Aug / Max
TSS	Aug: 50 / Max: 2.3	TSS	
Dissolved Oxygen			

Outfall _____ Wastes authorized _____
 Interim _____ Final _____

NPDES Permit Parameter	NPDES Permit Value	State Permit Parameter	State Permit Value
Dly avg flow, MGD		Dly avg flow, MGD	
Dly max flow, MGD		Dly max flow, MGD	

Outfall _____ Wastes authorized _____
 Interim _____ Final _____

NPDES Permit Parameter	NPDES Permit Value	State Permit Parameter	State Permit Value
Dly avg flow, MGD		Dly avg flow, MGD	
Dly max flow, MGD		Dly max flow, MGD	

Outfall _____ Wastes authorized _____
 Interim _____ Final _____

NPDES Permit Parameter	NPDES Permit Value	State Permit Parameter	State Permit Value
Dly avg flow, MGD		Dly avg flow, MGD	
Dly max flow, MGD		Dly max flow, MGD	

5. Are all outfalls listed in the EPA permit also listed in the state permit? Y___ N___
6. If no to 5, is the difference only the method in which the two permits number similar outfalls? Y___ N___
7. If no to 6, describe the differences between the permits here _____

8. Does the NPDES permit have the same quality and quantity of effluent limitations compared to those limitations in the state permit? Y___ N___
9. If no to 7, are there any concentration-based effluent limitations in the final phase of the EPA permit that are more stringent than what exists in the state permit? Y___ N___

Reviewer may need assistance from permit writer to consider backsliding issues. Once backsliding issues are resolved, draft the TPDES permit to reflect state-issued conditions, adding any additional effluent limitations from the NPDES not already in the state permit.

10. Comparing the effluent flows and the loadings from the table (or tables) above, does the EPA permit provide the permittee with at least the same coverage as the state permit does? Y___ N___

Note, loadings are the effluent limitations reflected in lbs./day of kg./day

11. On page 1 of the active state permit, find the water segment where this applicant proposes to discharge. Write it here _____
12. From the basin permit rule, write the basin permit date as exactly shown in the rule here _____ and also write the date exactly five years into the future here _____
13. On page 1 of the active state permit, find the permit expiration date and write it here _____
14. Compared to today's date, is the next upcoming basin permit date after the permit expiration date? Y___ N___
15. Is the basin permit date after May, 2002? Y___ N___

If the answers to both 14 and 15 are yes, process the application with the basin date as the permit expiration date. The permit will be drafted with an expiration date of:

If the answers to questions 2 and 10 are yes, and either the next upcoming basin permit date or the state permit expiration date is prior to May, 2002, processing of the application is suspended. A letter of explanation must be mailed to the applicant explaining the NPDES application will not be processed until receipt of the application prior to expiration of the state permit. Otherwise, The permit will be drafted with an expiration date of: _____

16. Is the application administrative complete? Y___ N___

Determination made by completion of appropriate checklist. If not admin. complete, a request for information must be mailed to the permit applicant.

17. Is the effluent characterization and analytical testing data submitted with the NPDES application newer than what was submitted with the most recent state permit application? ~~Y___~~ N___

18. Does the active state permit currently include whole effluent testing (WET) requirements? ~~Y___~~ N___

19. Does the NPDES application show the same discharge points as those described in the state permit? Y___ N___

If no, reviewer will request information to determine whether the state permit requires a major amendment.

20. Does response from the permit applicant indicate need for major amendment changes to state permit? ~~Y___~~ N___

21. Is the discharge to a water body which is listed as threatened or impaired (CWA 303d List)? Y___ N___

22. Are the effluent limitations consistent with the current Water Quality Management Plan? Y___ N___

If no, reviewer must route the update information to the Watershed Mgmt. Team

23. Screen the NPDES application for standards determination and modeling. Is further technical review needed (see screening criteria, attached)? Y___ N___

If no, attach the existing modeling or standards information and route the application file to staff who will draft TPDES permit. If yes, route application file to standards reviewer and/or modeling reviewer, as appropriate.

24. Does the discharge enter a water body with an endangered species present? Y___ N___

25. List the water body and the listed species. _____

26. Is there a reason to believe the permit needs special consideration due to potential impacts upon the endangered species? ~~Y___~~ N___

27. Route the application file to staff who will draft TPDES permit. If any of the shaded answers are checked, application file must be routed to the Industrial Permits Team. Otherwise, temporary staff can proceed to draft a TPDES permit.

